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## WGIN phase 3 (WGIN3) March 2015 - Feb 2017

Project title
Defra Wheat Genetic Improvement Network

- Improving the resilience of the wheat crop through genetics and targeted traits analysis


## Wheat Genetic Improvement Network (WGIN3) 2015-2017

Red text new to WGIN3
WP1 Management meetings - The Network

## WP3 Tools and Resources

Maintain and further develop, mapping popn, Watkins/Gediflux, T. monococum collections (3.1)

Create an A x C NIL TILLING popn (3.2)
T. monococcum introgression (3.3)

## WPs 2, 3 \& 4 Targeted Traits

Aphid resistance (2.2)
Take-all resistance $(2.2,3.4)$
Septoria and yellow rust resistance (2.2)
Yield and quality resilience (2.2, 3.4)
Yield components (2.2)
Drought tolerance (2.2, 3.4)
Root system function (3.4)

## Sub-contractors - WP1.3 \& 4.2 NGS genome / exome analyses

WP1 Enhancing the network and communication of results

Website (1.2)
Annual Stakeholders forum (1.1)
International collaborations (1.4)
Publications + data deposits (1.4)

Electronic Newsletter (1.4)
Focussed workshops (1.1) Public outreach
Industry-led forum (1.5)

## WGIN 3 project partners

## John Innes Centre - Simon Griffiths

## Rothamsted Research - Kim Hammond-Kosack

## Two sub- contractors

Bristol Genomics Facility<br>Univ. Bristol, UK

## MYcroarray Michigan, USA

Genotyping using Affymetrix arrays

Allelic variation via Exome Capture

## Twenty one project milestones

| 1 | (March 15) | First stakeholder meeting - JIC |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | (February 15) | Development of new near isogenic lines. |
| 3 | (throughout project) | Further maintenance and distribution of Avalon x Cadenza <br> doubled haploid population. |
| 4 | (Feb 15) | Genetic characterisation of Paragon mutants. |
| 5 | (March 15) | Identification of useful genetic variation in Watkins <br> population. |
| 6 | (Apr 15) | Development of new mapping populations. |
| 7 | (June 15) | First Interim written report to Defra |
| 8 | (Sept 15) | Resistance to cereal aphids, information to establish the <br> likely genetic basis of resistance to cereal aphid (Sept 15) |
| 9 | (Aug 15) | Development of new QTL for yield at low and high N input |
| 10 | (Sept 15) | Information on stability of yield and nitrogen use efficiency <br> parameters for elite varieties |
| 11 | (Oct 15) | Collection of data on variation in canopy longevity and <br> nitrogen remobilisation |
| 12 | (Dec 15) | Evaluation of lines with good bread-making properties |
| 13 | (Dec 15) | Second stakeholder meeting |
| 14 | (Jan 16) | Second Interim written report to Defra/Project evaluation <br> 15 |
| (Feb 16) | Taits <br> harboll disease, genetic basis, introgression of lines |  |
| 16 | (Feb 16) | Introgression of extreme resistance to Septoria tritici from <br> T. monococcum |
| 17 | (March 16) | Information on germplasm with new important traits. |
| 18 | (Apr 16) | Grain Archiving: from each plot of the annual diversity and <br> Avalon x Cadenza field |
| 19 | (Summer 16) | Third Stakeholder meeting and 21. Report (Interim or final) |
| 20 and 21 (Dec 16) |  |  |

Publicising the WGIN and OREGIN on the AHDB stand at Cereals 2015


# WGIN Stakeholder Event November 2015 @Rothamsted 

Possible dates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10^{\text {th }} \text { November }- \text { Tue }- \text { NO } \\
& 20^{\text {th }} \text { November }- \text { Fri }- \text { RES } \\
& 23^{\text {rd }} \text { November }- \text { Mon }- \text { reserve } \\
& 24^{\text {th }} \text { November }- \text { Tue }- \text { NO } \\
& 27^{\text {th }} \text { November }- \text { Fri }- \text { reserve }
\end{aligned}
$$

New wheat projects - speakers to invite
Topics for the panel discussion

## WGIN phase 3

## Improving the resilience of the wheat crop through genetics and targeted traits analysis

## THE WGIN3 TEAM

## John Innes Centre

Rothamsted Research

```
Simon Griffiths*
Group Leader
Yield components
Resources
Band D technician
Yield components
New genetic resources
```




## WGIN NILs analysis

Eleven QTLs, on chromosomes 1B, 1D, 2A, 2D, 3A, 3B, 5A, 6A, 6B, 7B and 7D were chosen as target regions for introgression in our marker assisted backcrossing scheme.

| QTL region | Trait | Marker |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1B | HD | wmc44 - barc80 |
| 1D | HD | gdm111 |
| 2A | PH | gwm359 - gwm122 |
| 2D | PH-GRYLD | cdf36 - gwm261; wmc18 - gwm539 |
| 3A | PH- GRYLD | gwm369 - wmc505 - barc19 - wmc264 |
| 3B | PH-GRYLD | cfd79b - gwm285 - wmc326; gwm389 - barc $75-$ gwm493 |
| 5A | GRYLD | gwm156a - gwm186 |
| 6A | PH | barc23a - barc171 - gwm570 |
| 6B | PH | wmc105 - gwm219 |
| 7B | GRYLD | barc176 - wmc517 - gwm577 |
| 7D | GRYLD | cdf21a - psp3113 |

A total of 553 BC $_{2}$ NILs were generated ( 250 and 303 NILs with Avalon and Cadenza background, respectively).

Year: 2013 and 2014
Background: Avalon and Cadenza
Chromosome: 1B, 1D, 2A, 2D, 3A, 3B, 5A, 6A, 6B, 7B and 7D Allele: Avalon and Cadenza

A total of 553 BC $_{2}$ NILs were generated ( 250 and 303 NILs with Avalon and Cadenza background, respectively).

Year: 2013 and 2014
Background: Avalon and Cadenza
Chromosome: 1B, 1D, 2A, 2D, 3A, 3B, 5A, 6A, 6B, 7B and 7D Allele: Avalon and Cadenza


| Traits: |  | [ Ear length(EL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plant Height (PH) + components | Peduncle length (PL) |
|  |  | Internode length (1stITL, 2ndITL, 3rdITL, 4thiTL and 5thiTL) |
|  | Heading date (HD) |  |

Grain Yield (GRYLD) + components
$\left[\begin{array}{cl}\text { Thousand Grain Weight (TGRWT) } & \longrightarrow \\ \text { Grain Area (GRA) } \\ \text { Grain number (GRpsqm) } & \downarrow \\ \downarrow & \text { Grain Length (GRL) } \\ \text { Spikes } / \mathrm{m}^{2}(\mathrm{~S}) & \text { Grain Width (GRW) } \\ \text { spikelet/spike (s/S) } \\ \text { grains/spikelet (G/S) } & \end{array}\right.$

| Background | Allele | 1B | 1D | 2A | 2D | 3A | 3B | 5A | 6A | 6B | 7B | 7D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a | 3 | 8 | 9 | 37 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 22 | 25 | 4 | 4 |
|  | b | 7 | 5 | 2 | 37 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 19 | 2 | 6 |
| Cadenza | a | 8 | 19 | 8 | 27 | 29 | 13 | - | 26 | 15 | - | - |
|  | b | 9 | 22 | 5 | 33 | 22 | 17 | - | 22 | 27 | - | - |

## 











2013


2014


## groups

- Avalon
- Cadenza



Env x background interaction (*)

|  | Av. backgr. |  | Cd. Backgr. | Diff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | 2013 | 1368.84 | 1341.22 | 27.62 |
|  | 2014 | 1782.96 | 1756.63 | 26.32 |
| PH * | 2013 | 70.98 | 72.20 | -1.22 |
|  | 2014 | 82.16 | 86.40 | -4.24 |
| GY * | 2013 | 7.89 | 7.95 | 0.06 |
|  | 2014 | 10.51 | 11.28 | 0.77 |
| TGW * | 2013 | 46.73 | 47.09 | 0.36 |
|  | 2014 | 52.49 | 54.26 | 1.77 |
| GN * | 2013 | 16864.52 | 16877.15 | 12.63 |
|  | 2014 | 20061.94 | 20840.71 | 778.77 |



| Env | Background | Chromosome | GY | TGW | GN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | Avalon | 1B |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  | + |
|  |  | 3B |  | - |  |
|  |  | 5A |  | + |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B |  |  | - |
|  |  | 7D |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 D |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  | + |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - |  |
|  |  | 6 D |  |  |  |
| 2014 | Avalon | 1B | + |  |  |
|  |  | 1D | - |  | - |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5A |  | + |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - |  |
|  |  | co |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B | - |  | - |
|  |  | 7 D |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  | + |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | + |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |

- = Avalon allele $\uparrow$
$+=$ Cadenza allele $\uparrow$

| Env | Background | Chromosome | GY | TGW | Area | Length | Width | L/W | GN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | Avalon | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  |  | - |  |  | + |
|  |  | 3B |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | 5A |  | + | + | + | + |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B |  |  | + |  | + | - | - |
|  |  | 7D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  |  | - |  | - | + |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | Avalon | 1B | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5A |  | + | + | + | + |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 7D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  |  | - |  | - | + |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  | + |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

neutral effects for PH and HD penalty

- = Avalon allele $\uparrow$
+ = Cadenza allele $\uparrow$

| Env | Background | Chromosome | GY | TGW | Area | Length | Width | L/W | GN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | Avalon | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  |  | - |  |  | + |
|  |  | 3B |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | 5 A |  | + | + | + | + |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B |  |  | + |  | + | - | - |
|  |  | 7D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  |  | - |  | - | + |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | Avalon | 1B | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5A |  | + | + | + | + |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 7D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  |  | - |  | - | + |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  | + |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PH penalty

- = Avalon allele $\uparrow$
$+=$ Cadenza allele $\uparrow$

| Env | Background | Chromosome | GY | TGW | Area | Length | Width | L/W | GN | spikes/m2 | spikelet/spike | grains/spikelet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | Avalon | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  |  | - |  |  | + |  | (+) |  |
|  |  | 3B |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5A |  | + | + | + | + |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  | (+) |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B |  |  | + |  | + | - | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | 7D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 2 A |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  |  | - |  | - | + |  | + | + |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | Avalon | 1B | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5A |  | + | + | + | + |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7B | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cadenza | 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3A | + |  |  | - |  | - | + |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6A |  | - | - |  | - |  | + |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Magnitude of the effects




Magnitude of the effects



QTL×E interaction QTL× Background interaction weak phenotypic effects

## Possible background effects (i.e. 3A)


(A = Avalon; C = Cadenza)

# WGIN Meeting Clare Lister 

> 17/7/2015

1. Dissecting UK drought tolerance in Paragon $x$ Garcia
2. Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Paragon NIL library
3. Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using Paragon library
4. A chromosome segment substitution library for Avalon $x$ Cadenza
5. Understanding genotype $x$ environment interaction in Avalon $x$ Cadenza - ALBA
6. Foundations for a new generation segregating populations for studying yield stability in the UK
7. Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability
8. Curation and distribution of WGIN germplasm

# WGIN3 Projects: Griffiths Lab 

1. Dissecting UK drought tolerance in Paragon $x$ Garcia

- RIL's scored for DTEM and Height
- Yield to be measured
- Drought Trial planned for 2015-2016 with selected lines


2. Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Paragon NIL library

- Yield trials of NILs carrying multiple alleles of Rht's, Ppd's, Vrn's, eps, grain shape, yield...
- DTEM and Height scored
- Yield to be measured
- 3 rep, spring-sown, yield-trial of subset of Paragon Library
- DTEM scored
- Height and yield to be measured

3. Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using Paragon library

- NIL stacking i.e. Rht1 x Rht8 -> F2 seed

6. Foundations for a new generation segregating populations for studying yield stability in the UK

- Creating as many F1's for future development of linked populations targeting UK yield stability

7. Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability

- Following on from theoretical work in Ma et al (2015)* - crosses made between three ideal NILs
(Work by Simon Orford, to be continued by CL)
*"Using the UK reference population Avalon $\times$ Cadenza as a platform to compare breeding strategies in elite Western European bread wheat" Molecular Breeding 35


## WGIN3 Projects: Griffiths' Lab

4. A chromosome segment substitution library (CSSL) for Avalon x Cadenza

- WGIN successfully promoted the A x C DH population as UK reference population
- A x C population most densely mapped in the world
- Much phenotypic data also available
- NILs derived from these have validated QTLs
- The BC3 NILs carry selected genetic foreground in the QTL regions (height, heading, and yield)
- In addition each line carries $\sim 12.5 \%$ random chromosomal regions.
.
Genetic
Improvement
Network


18 NILs genotyped on the 820K array


B
 QTL region - Avalon

Random background - Avalon

Cadenza
D


This could also allow an understanding of the interactions between the specific QTL and other regions of the genome, which may, or may not be, other known QTL loci.

## A CSSL for Avalon x Cadenza

- Anticipated that in 552 NILs every locus of Cadenza will be represented in Avalon, and vice versa
- Can we 'tile' the whole genome to make recombinant substitution lines for the whole genome in both Avalon and Cadenza backgrounds?
- 250 BC 2 NILs in Avalon background
- 302 BC $_{2}$ NILs in Cadenza background


## Random background - Avalon

$\square$ Cadenza
i.e. A genome



## Simplistic (and optimistic) representation!

i.e. A genome, chromosomes 1, 2 and 3 ......


## Selection of lines for CSSL

- 47 Avalon+b allele and 47 Cadenza+a allele lines = 94 lines
- representing all the QTLs (EM, Ht, YLD)

| Background | Chromosome | Trait | Allele | \# of lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avalon | 1B | EM | b | 5 |
| Avalon | 1D | EM | b | 3 |
| Avalon | 2A | Ht | b | 2 |
| Avalon | 2D | Ht | b | 5 |
| Avalon | 2D | YLD | b | 3 |
| Avalon | 3A | Ht | b | 5 |
| Avalon | 3B | Ht | b | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Avalon | 5 A | YLD | b | 5 |
| Avalon | 6 A | Ht | b | 5 |
| Avalon | 6 B | Ht | b | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Avalon | 7B | YLD | b | 1 |
| Avalon | 7D | YLD | b | 3 |


| Background | Chromosome | Trait | Allele | \# of lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadenza | 1B | EM | a | 5 |
| Cadenza | 1D | EM | a | 5 |
| Cadenza | 2A | Ht | a | 5 |
| Cadenza | 2D | Ht | a | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadenza | 3A | Ht | a | 6 |
| Cadenza | 3B | Ht | a | 5 |
| Cadenza | 3B | YLD | a | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadenza | 6A | Ht | a | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadenza | 6B | $\mathrm{EM} \& \mathrm{Ht}$ | a | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

- Selection also based on various data
- Previous genotyping to determine background
- Lines where backcrosses already made
- Lines at the extremes of the QTL phenotypic data


## Requirements for CSSL

- Need maps for chosen NILs
- Full AxC Map (18 942 markers) from Bristol
- Frame AxC Map (1 286 markers) from Bristol
- Already have 820K Axiom data for 18 NILs
- Genotyping of 94 lines on 35K Axiom array
- Need markers in 820K array also in 35K for maps
- Preferably use markers in Frame Map - not always possible
- Preferably scored as AA/BB - reduces genotype ambiguities
- Preferably are BS markers - useful for small-scale genotypers



## Maps of Chromosome 3

AC69_E44_6_67_All
3 A Ht in Avalon background


AC113_E113_10_72_All
3A Ht in Cadenza background

Avalon
Cadenza
Het
No marker data


## Almost ready to genotype.....!

- Leaf material harvested, DNA preps next week!
- -> Genotyping on 35K Axion wheat breeders array
- Make maps of all 94 lines -> WGIN website

Subsequent work...

- Backcross lines twice to recurrent parent
- KASP markers will be used to select for the new target segment.
- Lines selfed and homozygous CSSLs selected
- Lines available for use


## Rothamsted Research

## where knowledge grows
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Wheat varieties for WGIN 20:20-NUE
W=WGIN data, $D=$ desk study

| Variety | Source | Nabim | Rationale | Previous years of trials (harvest year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Avalon |  | 1 | WGIN DH parent; Low NupE \& NutE (D) WUE trial | 05-15 |
| 2. Bonham | KWS | 2? | Low TAB parentage W104 (Portland) x Cordiale | 14-15 |
| 3. Cadenza |  | 2 | WGIN DH parent; Best NupE (W) WUE trial | 04-15 |
| 4. Claire | LIM | 3 | Was biggest area on RL; WGIN DH parent; Good second wheat | 05-15 |
| 5. Cocoon | Agrii/Secobra | 3 | Tall variety. High yield. 2010 introduction. Eyespot and rust resistant. | 13-15 |
| 6. Conqueror | KWS | 4 | New Grp 4, very high yielding | 12-15 |
| 7. Cordiale | KWS | 2 | Good second wheat. BBSRC Quality project WUE trial | 06-15 |
| 8. Crusoe | LIM | 2 | Carries dicoccoides. Shows the 'stay green' character | 11-15 |
| 9. Evoke | KWS | 2? | Low TAB? Cordiale x W134 Timaru | 14-15 |
| 10. Gallant | Syn | 1 | new claimed high yield and high protein type | 10-15 |
| 11. Hereford | Syn | 4 | Feed (not on RL), high yield, brown rust susceptible, possible low take-al build-up and good resistance. Multi trait. | \|112-15 |
| 12. Hereward | RAGT | 1 | Best protein on RL; benchmark bread variety. BBSRC Quality project WUE trial | 04-15 |
| 13. Hystar | Saaten Union | 4 | Hybrid for the first time, soft feed, high yield, good roots | 15 |
| 14. Istabraq | LIM | 4 | Best yield on RL; Distilling cultivar; In LINK 'GREENgrain'; Good second wheat. BBSRC Quality project. WUE trial | .05-15 |
| 15 Malacca | KWS | 1 | Biggest Group 1 area; DH choice; Low NupE, high NutE (W). BBSRC Quality project | y04-15 |
| 16. Maris Widgeon |  | 1 | Tall (rht), old cultivar WUE trial | 04-15 |
| 17. Mercia |  | 1 | Low NupE \& NutE (desk); Low Canopy N requirement; In IGF micro-array. WUE trial. RHT series | E04, 06-15 |
| 18. Paragon | RAGT | 1 | Spring variety; WGIN mutagenesis population; High NupE (W) | 04-15 |
| 19. Riband | RAGT | 3 | WGIN DH parent; Distilling cultivar; In LINK 'GREENgrain'; High NutE (W) | 04-15 |
| 20. Robigus | KWS | 3 | Best Group 3 yield; Best NUE, high NupE \& NutE (D); Good second wheat. WUE trial | E05-15 |
| 21. Skyfall | RAGT | 1 | Still provisional RL as of June 2014 but very high yielding Grp 1 | 15 |
| 22. Stigg | LIM | ?4 | Carries dicoccoides. High disease resistance. Shows the 'stay green' character | 11-15 |
| 23. Soissons | Elsoms | 2 | WGIN DH parent; Early maturing; High NupE, low NutE (W) WUE trial | 04-15 |
| 24. Solstice | LIM | 2 | Biggest Group 2 area; DH choice; Worst NupE (W) | 04-15 |
| 25. Xi19 | LIM | 1 | Best Group 1 yield; High NUE, NupE, NutE (D); Low NupE (W). BBSRC Quality project. WUE trial | 04-15 |

## Wheat varieties for WGIN 20:20-NUE

 2015/16| Variety | Source | Nabim Rationale |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26. Evolution | Limagrain | 4 | High yielding. Hard wheat. Consistent? Moderate straw length. |
| 27. KWS Lili | KWS | 2 | Very high yield.. Short and stiff straw,. |
| 28. Reflection | Syngenta | 4 | Early maturing. High yielding hard milling. |
| 29. RGT Illustrious | RAGT | cand | Candidate for 2016/17. For breadmaking. Good quality and breadmaking <br> ability even with low protein |
| 30. Hylux | Saaten Union | Hybrid. Early flowering and maturing. Can be mildew susceptible; treat T0. <br> Good under stress? Breadmaking? |  |

## Aerial imaging

Orthomosaic photo requirements:

- Typically 500 photos per expt
- 80\% overlap
- 12 GCPs per experiment
- Can take >24hrs to process images




## Create DEM/DSM

$1 \pm$
ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH


## Subtracting ground variation

ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH


## Height estimation from DEM/DSM

- Measurements taken May $22^{\text {nd }}$
- Only central $2 m \times 8 m$ of each plot analysed
- Correlation very good when crop is $>60 \mathrm{~cm}$
- Short/thin plots not so good



## Data collection 2015

- Spectral reflectance weekly
- Date of anthesis
- Senescence
- Canopy height
- N \& mineral uptake during GFP
- Aerial images
- Final harvest grain and straw yield




## Thanks

- WGIN team
- Rothamsted Farm staff
- Saroj Parmar, March Castle, Grzegorz Kulczycki, Adam Michalski

$W_{\text {heat }}$
Genetic
Improvement
Network


## Rothamsted Research
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## Screening germplasm for resilience to aphids (WP2.3)

Lesley Smart

## The Target Pests



Sitobion avenae ${ }^{\text {BBBSRC}}$

## Screening germplasm for resilience to aphids (WP2.3)

 Information to establish the likely genetic basis of resistance to cereal aphid (Sept 15)- Focus on Triticum monococcum lines as these provided the most promising leads for partial resistance to cereal aphids from previous work
- Crosses made by Mike Hammond-Kosack: MDR037 x MDR045, MDR049 and MDR657
- F1 generations of these crosses have now been tested in the phenotyping screen along with parental lines against both aphid species
- Focus on Triticum monococcum lines


## Nymph weight on Triticum monococcum lines




## Eight hour EPGs for a <br> representative replicate of $R$. padi on each of four wheat varieties (MDR=Triticum monococcum).

Behaviours: np: not probing, C: pathway phase, E1: salivation, E2: phloem ingestion (feeding) , F: derailed stylet mechanics, G: xylem ingestion (drinking)

Work by Alex Greenslade


## Fecundity assays - Intrinsic rate of increase $\left(r_{m}\right)$

$r_{m}=(\ln (F D) / D) \times C(0.74)(W y a t t ~ a n d ~ W h i t e, ~ 1977) ~$

Rhopalosiphum padi - no nymphs on MDR045
Cumulative nymph production




- BBSRC


## Metabolomic Analysis



- BBSRC
- F1 generations of crosses, MDR037 x MDR045, MDR049 and MDR657, tested in the phenotyping screen against both aphid species


Replicate 1

| WV1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | WV17 | WV1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Row 1
Row 2
Row 3
Row 4

- BBSRC

ROTHAMSTED

Rhopalosiphum padi mean nymph weight ( mg ) after 6 days on $T$. monococcum lines and crosses


Nymphs produced on MDR045 and MDR657 - plants older?

Sitobion avenae mean nymph weight (mg) after 7 days on $T$. monococcum lines and crosses


## Summary

- Clear difference in feeding behaviour as well as distinct metabolic phenotypes for partially-resistant and susceptible plants (both before and after 24h aphid infestation)
- Further work planned to investigate effects of some chemicals against aphids in feeding bioassays.
- Differences observed between responses of aphid species to F1 generations of $T$. monococcum crosses in phenotyping screen, but data limited. Aphid response on some parental lines differed from original findings. MDR049 consistent.
- F2 generations and backcrosses to MDR037 have just been harvested and will be tested in phenotyping screen and taken to further generations.
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# WGIN 3 <br> Resistance to take-all and foliar diseases 

Vanessa McMillan<br>Kim Hammond-Kosack

## Resistance to take-all and foliar diseases

Objectives:

1. Complete development of Triticum monococcum mapping populations for genetic analysis of resistance to take-all
2. Continue the introgression of resistance to take-all from $T$. monococcum to the BC1 stage
3. Examine the resistance of Triticum monococcum to yellow rust
4. Characterise hexaploid wheat germplasm previously shown to exhibit a high level of resistance to multiple foliar diseases

## Yellow Rust

- Wheat yellow rust = Puccinia striiformis f.sp. tritici
- Obligate biotrophic pathogen
- Yield losses of up to $50 \%$
- UK Cereal Pathogen Virulence Survey

| Year | Variety |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2000 | Robigus |
| 2008 | Solstice |
| 2011 | KWS Sterling |
| 2011 | Warrior |



## Objective 3: Examine the resistance of Triticum monococcum to yellow rust

Background: T. monococcum grown at RRes since 2004, but never any obvious yellow rust infections

- Total T. monococcum collection to be assessed for yellow rust resistance under field trial conditions
- Collection to be genotyped by University of Bristol - association analysis approach


## RRes Triticum monococcum collection

| Total number | 323 (Vavilov, USDA, IPK) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Country of origin | 35 |
| Spring habit | 229 |
| Winter habit | 86 |

* Enough seed of 263 accessions for yellow rust field trial


## Field trial design

- T. monococcum collection (263 accessions) sown in field trial $31^{\text {st }}$ October 2014 (one replicate per accession)
- Spreader rows of the highly susceptible hexaploid cultivar Robigus sown in between T. monococcum plots

natural yellow rust infection


25 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ March 2015

- $18 \%$ plots did not establish successfully
- A total of 216 accessions could be scored for foliar disease


## Yellow rust inoculation

- Three yellow rust isolates obtained from NIAB

Solstice isolate 08/21
KWS Sterling isolate 11/140
Warrior isolate 11/08

- Grow Robigus seedlings for 2 weeks (until GS 12)
- Inoculate with yellow rust spore:talc mixture (1:19)
- Cover trays with plastic bag (to keep high humidity) and cold treatment for 48 hr
- Grow at room temp for 2 weeks until symptom development and then hand planted into Robigus spreader rows in field trial 25 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ March 2015


## Yellow rust disease assessments

Field response 0 = no infection

Disease severity
Modified Cobb scale (percentage of rust infection on plant or leaf)


R

severity 5\%


MR

severity 10\%
severity 20\%



MS

severity 40\%
severity 60\%


S
ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH

## Yellow rust disease assessments

$27^{\text {th }}$ April 2015 - tillering GS 26-29

27 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ May 2015 - flag leaf emergence GS 39-40
$26^{\text {th }}$ June 2015 - mid/end of flowering GS 65-69

## $27^{\text {th }}$ April 2015 - tillering GS 26-29




- Robigus = 30\% disease severity
- No highly susceptible T. monococcum but sporulation visible on $\sim 40 \%$ of accessions

Severity (modifed Cobb scale \%)

## $27^{\text {th }}$ May 2015 - flag leaf emergence GS 39-40



Field response
 RESEARCH

- $2^{\text {nd }}$ leaf disease assessments
- Robigus

Flag leaf $=0-5 \%$
$2^{\text {nd }}$ leaf $=30-100 \%$

- Most accessions had a resistant phenotype or low levels of rust on $2^{\text {nd }}$ leaf (1\%)

Severity (modified cobb scale \%)

## $26^{\text {th }}$ June 2015 - mid/end of flowering GS 65-69




- Flag leaf disease assessments
- Robigus

Flag leaf $=60-100 \%$

- 99\% accessions had a resistant phenotype with some chlorosis and necrosis visible on flag leaf
- Two accessions showed yellow rust sporulation on flag leaf
Severity (modified cobb scale \%)


## Yellow rust resistance - summary

- Diverse T. monococcum accessions all highly resistant to yellow rust
- Low levels of yellow rust (1\% severity) detected at tillering and stem elongation/flag leaf emergence for many accessions
- $99 \%$ of accessions showed resistant phenotype at flowering (some chlorosis/necrosis, no yellow rust sporulation)
- Two accessions showed yellow rust sporulation on flag leaf at flowering

MDR634: 10\% - probably not T. monococcum, mistake in seed store MDR288: 2\% - also showed stem purpling and powdery mildew infection Country of origin = Turkey

## Possible Next Steps - to discuss

- Infected leaves from MDR288 put into $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer for future sequencing of the yellow rust genome
- Trial to be hand harvested and repeat sown for 2015/2016 field season
- Mapping populations created between MDR288 (S) and resistant accessions to map resistance / susceptibility loci

Objective 4: Characterise hexaploid wheat germplasm previously shown to exhibit a high level of resistance to multiple foliar diseases

## Background

- WGIN 2: $3^{\text {rd }}$ wheat, Take-all field experiment in 2008 - Watkins collection (740 lines) - Richard Gutteridge
- Single replicate of each Watkins line
- No fungicides
- Trial assessed for yellow rust, brown rust, septoria and powdery mildew infection and plant samples taken for take-all assessments on the root systems


## Watkins 2008 field trial



ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH

High foliar disease pressure - brown rust, powdery mildew, yellow rust and Septoria

## Watkins 2008 field trial

## Background

- Watkins 2008 field trial - 10 Watkins accessions with a high degree of resistance to all 4 foliar pathogens
- Also a high take-all disease year with root infection early in the season
- Was the foliar disease resistance an induced plant response?


## WGIN 3 Watkins foliar disease experiment 2015

- 10 Watkins lines + controls sown in both $1^{\text {st }}$ wheat (no take-all) and $3^{\text {rd }}$ wheat (high take-all) field trials in autumn 2014 (1 or 2 replicates per line in each trial)
- No fungicides applied to allow natural disease to develop
- Score for foliar diseases + take-all


## 10 Watkins accessions with high degree of resistance to all 4 foliar pathogens

|  |  |  | 2008 Disease assessments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accesssion | Growth habit | Country of Origin | Yellow rust | Brown rust | Septoria | Mildew | Mapping population at JIC |
| 18 | Spring | India | 0 | 0 | T | T |  |
| 137 | Spring | Australia | T | T | 0 | T |  |
| 203 | Winter | India | 0 | 0 | 0 | T |  |
| 231 | Spring | Hungary | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | YES - with Paragon |
| 262 | Spring | Canary Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 399 | Spring | China | T | 0 | T | 0 |  |
| 495 | Spring | Morocco | 0 | 0 | T | 0 |  |
| 610 | Spring | Yugoslavia | 0 | 0 | T | T |  |
| 733 | Spring | Iran | T | T | T | T |  |
| 786 | Spring | USSR | 0 | T | T | 0 |  |

$$
0 \text { - no disease , } \mathrm{T}=\text { trace }
$$

## Watkins foliar disease field trial 2015


$11^{\text {th }}$ May $2015 \quad 3^{\text {rd }}$ wheat Bylands
Yellow rust dominant disease that developed across 2015 field trials

## 5/10 Watkins lines very susceptible to yellow rust




## 5/10 Watkins lines show some resistance to yellow rust



## 5/10 Watkins lines show some resistance to yellow rust

| Watkins line | Field response |
| :--- | :--- |
| 203 | MR |
| 231 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{MR}$ |
| 610 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{MR}$ |
| 733 | 0 |
| 786 | MS (May), MR (June) |


cv. Fielder

Flag leaf $=100 \%$ S

ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH

Watkins 733
No disease symptoms


## Watkins field crossing with cv. Fielder

| Watkins line | Yellow rust resistance | Ears crossed | $F_{1}$ Grains |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18^{*}$ | MS | 7 | 70 |
| 203 | $M R$ | 8 | 31 |
| 231 | $M / M R$ | 8 | 54 |
| $495^{*}$ | $M S$ | 6 | 13 |
| 610 | $M / M R$ | 6 | 35 |
| 733 | 0 | 6 | 46 |
| Totals |  | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 9}$ |

* Included in crossing as low disease severity in May


## Watkins foliar disease trial summary

- 5/10 lines very susceptible to yellow rust - escaped disease in 2008 or different YR races?
- 5/10 lines show some resistance:

$$
1 / 5=\text { no disease response, } 4 / 5=\mathrm{M} \text { or } \mathrm{MR}
$$

- Field crossing carried out between Watkins and cv. Fielder
- Plant samples taken on $13^{\text {th }}$ July to be assessed for take-all in the autumn and compared to foliar disease - evidence for an induced resistance response or not?


## Possible Next Steps - to discuss

- Trial to be hand harvested and repeat sown for 2015/2016 field season
- Watkins 786 to be crossed with cv. Fielder in glasshouse or field 2016 (not included in 2015 field crossing due to high disease in May)
- Mapping populations to be progressed to $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and then screened for yellow rust resistance
- University of Sydney - evaluated Watkins wheat lines against Australian yellow rust isolates, need to identify which Watkins lines they have been working on

Mapping of a new stripe rust resistance locus Yr57 on chromosome 3BS of wheat

Mandeep S. Randhawa • Harbans S. Bariana
Rohit Mago - Urmil K. Bansal

## Many thanks to

## Kim Hammond-Kosack

Gail Canning
PhD students
Sarah-Jane Osborne
Joseph Moughan
Undergraduate summer students
Erin Baggs
Eleanor Leane
Tessa Reid

Mike Hammond-Kosack - crossing and introgression
Lucy Nevard - seed preparation
Rodger White - statistics
RRes farm and glasshouse staff
Sarah Holdgate (NIAB)
Simon Orford (JIC)

## Take-all disease

## Major root disease of wheat

## Ascomycete soil-borne fungal pathogen

 Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici (Ggt)

Take-all infected wheat seedling


Take-all patch showing stunting and premature ripening of the crop

## Resistance to take-all in Triticum monococcum

- $3^{\text {rd }}$ wheat field trials 2006-2011 (WGIN 1 and 2)
- 34 T. monococcum accessions tested over 5 years



## Objective 1: Complete development of Triticum monococcum mapping populations

## $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ populations:

MDR037 (S) x MDR046 (R) - 79 F6 lines (started with ~180 F3 plants) MDR037 x MDR229-85 F6 lines

## $F_{2}$ Tm cross progeny numbers:

| Parentage |  | Estimated $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ progeny <br> number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MDR031 (R) x MDR043 (vS) | 31 ears from 3 plants | 450 |
| MDR031 x MDR229 | 16 ears from 1 plant | 320 |
| MDR031 x MDR650 | 48 ears from 3 plants | 900 |
| MDR043 (vS) x MDR031 (R) | 48 ears from 3 plants | 960 |
| MDR043 (vS) x MDR046 (R) | 36 ears from 3 plants | 750 |
| MDR229 x MDR031 | 94 ears from 6 plants | 2000 |

Now at $F_{4}$, taking forward to $F_{6}$

## Field trial screening MDR037 (S) X MDR046 (R) T. monococcum mapping population

2013/2014 field trial ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ wheat situation):

- Randomised block design (5 reps/genotype)
- $F_{6}$ mapping population of 72 lines + parental line (5 replicates)

- Plant samples taken at GS 75

PhD student Sarah-Jane Osborne


The University of Nottingham

## MDR037 (S) x MDR046 (R) mapping population

 MDR046 (R) MDR037 (S)

PhD student Sarah-Jane Osborne


- BBSRC


# Exome Capture 

## Kim Hammond-Kosack



MYcroarray

Ann Harbor, Michigan, USA

## Exome Capture

The overall goal is to use exome capture to identify genetic variation in candidate or known genes that are responsible for the desired trait (s)

Exome capture (WP 4.2, 4.4 and Milestones 18)
A designated group of WGIN scientists will interact with the company MYcroarray to decide on the best way to represent wheat genes on the 20,000 bit array.

## Custom bait libraries for target sequencing

Mybaits is a fully customisable liquid-phase DNA capture system
for targeted sequencing


High percentage of reads on target.

Summary of exome capture discussions @ WGIN Stakeholder meeting 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ April 2015

Focus: promoter sequences (~1kb) - NOVELTY
$A, B$ and $D$ genome sequences to be individually captured

- Bioinformatics will be quite challenging to ID the 3 homoeologous promoters


## Summary of exome capture discussions @ WGIN Stakeholder meeting 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ April 2015

Design: 120-mers across each promoter, each overlapping by 60 bp (i.e. 2-fold coverage) 16 probes per promoter ( 960 bp ) 48 probes to cover A, B and D promoters / gene $416 \times 3$ promoters

Or some only evaluated for 1 homoeologue
Need to include published positive controls to validate the technology
For example - ppd1, vrn1A
Need to remove
MITEs - miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements from the probe sets developed

Summary of exome capture discussions @ WGIN Stakeholder meeting 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ April 2015

Developing the list of 96 cultivars
Need to relate to ongoing / previous wheat projects (WGIN and beyond)

Generic Resources
Avalon
Cadenza
Paragon
Chinese Spring
Kronos (tetraploid)
Diploids
Alchemy, Hereward, Rialto, Robigus, Savannah and Xi19

- Wingfield et al (2012) PBJ study

Summary of exome capture discussions @ WGIN Stakeholder meeting 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ April 2015

Developing the promoter - gene list - $416 \times 3$ genomes

## Traits

1. Yield resilience
2. Grain quality
3. Biotic stress - fungi and insects
4. Abiotic stress - drought, high temp
5. Nutrient use efficiency
6. Canopy development
7. Flower biology
8. Root architecture

50 nominated promoters per trait category

Summary of exome capture discussions @ WGIN Stakeholder meeting 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ April 2015

Who to be involved ?
So far
JIC - Simon Griffiths, Cristobal Uauy*
NIAB - Alison Bentley

RRes - Kim Hammond-Kosack, Andy Phillips*

* BBSRC BBR wheat tilling project


## Exome capture - next steps

4-6 individuals interested in taking this WP forward

- finalise the oligo design method
- select the wheat gene list
- select the 96 wheat genotypes

Series of Skype calls I WORKSHOP

Interact with the BBSRC funded BBR project which include some exome capture for wheat (Uauy and Philips)

Ye, United Kingdom
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## Wheat, Barley and Maize Target Enrichment Designs for Exome Sequencing Available from Roche NimbleGen

November 14, 2013
Roche (SIX: RO, ROG; OTCQX: RHHBY) announced the release of SeqCap EZ Exome Designs for target enrichment of the wheat, barley and maize genomes. These agriculture exome designs were developed with key opinion leaders in crop genome research. The goal is to provide researchers a cost-effective and easy-to-use alternative sequencing method beyond whole genome sequencing.

The Wheat Barley Exome Consortium (WBEC) worked closely with Roche NimbleGen to develop both the Wheat and Barley Exome Designs for public use. The WBEC is a collaboration of researchers from the University of Liverpool, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), James Hutton Institute, Kansas State University, University of Minnesota, University of Saskatchewan, and BIOGEMMA.

The Maize Exome design resulted from the collaboration between Roche NimbleGen and researchers at Iowa State University and the University of Minnesota. It is based on a comprehensive collection of the exon content from a range of North American lines of maize and maize relatives from the Zea genus.
"Using NimbleGen's target enrichment design in a maize GWAS study allowed us to focus our sequencing resources on the exome, which proved to be a more rapid and cost-effective method to identify trait associated loci over traditional detection methods," said Dr. Patrick Schnable, Distinguished Professor and Director, Center for Plant Genomics at Iowa State University.

## WGIN3 project

The overall goal is to exome capture to identify genetic variation in candidate or known genes that are responsible for the desired trait (s)

Exome capture (WP 4.2, 4.4 and Milestones 18)
A designated group of WGIN scientists will interact with the company MYcroarray to decide on the best way to represent wheat genes on the 20,000 bit array.

This will be done via a series of Skype meetings held during months 1-3.
A workshop will be held to priorities the gene list and the 96 wheat genotypes to be tested.
A pilot experiment will be done to ensure the DNA is of the correct quality to ensure success.
The full sample set will be sent for the capture using the most appropriate secure carrier.

## A wheat example from Andy Phillips@RRes

MYcoarray helped design the oligo array for $\sim 1700$ wheat genes and made the oligos,

The array "design" was very simple - 120-mers across the whole of each CDS, each overlapping by 60 bp (ie 2-fold coverage). But this naïve design resulted in some variation in capture efficiency .

Used a single set of oligos for each gene, based on a single homoeologue. The ontarget homoeologue represented $\sim 50 \%$ of all reads, with the other two homoeologues having $\sim 25 \%$ each, on average.

Additional comments
A minimum of 20,000 baits - corresponding to $\sim 1200$ coding sequences of average length 1 kb .

You will achieve a more comprehensive capture by using genomic sequence not CDS for oligo design (we lost small exons in our captures) so that you can add some flanking intron sequence (and promoter, probably important for surveying natural variation).

